NOTE: THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTATION WAS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD BEFORE OR AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING ON THIS ITEM WHICH IS NOW APPEARING BEFORE THE CITY COUNCIL

(702) 733-0036 Fax (702) 733-1774

1428 SOUTH JONES BOULEVARD LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89146

STEVEN M. SHINN SSHINN@H-DLAW.COM

August 2, 2006

Planning and Development Department Current Planning Division Development Services Center 731 South Fourth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

RE: Var-14313; SDR 14311; Applicant; Dennis Iwasaka; Owner Max Jones Professional Plaza

Dear Sirs and Mesdames:

The undersigned represents 1428 Associates, LLC who is the owner of property situated at 1428 South Jones Boulevard which is adjacent to the property which is the subject of the Variance and Site Development Plan Review.

The undersigned wishes to take this opportunity to oppose the variance and object to the Site Development Plan Review. The owner of said property has made many requests of the Alexander Office Park Association. Aside from these more personal irritating factors, the development will be able to house more than its fair share of occupants. This will increase the traffic in the area which already is congested and is projected to increase substantially with the opening of the new mental health facility on Jones and Oakey. The occupants of the Alexander Office complex have to travel to Jones and Oakey to access their property due to the absence of a left turn lane on Jones. Further, the owners of the subject property have for the last year, run an illegal junk yard on the property filled with numerous dogs, which have jumped over the wall and crapped on our property. The property owners have failed to maintain the health of the dogs; one loose pit bull who was hobbling along with a broken leg was taken away by Animal Control just over a week ago. Many believe that the tenants of said property have been illegally running a dog breeding business. They have stolen water from our property, many times, by connecting a hose to a spigot on our property (the most recent occurrence having happened just today). To say the least, they have shown no consideration or regard for the property of others, much less their own junk filled area.

CASE NO VAC 1433 500

PC MEETING: S PC



Page 2

Letter to Planning and Development Dept.

Re: Var-14313

Any variance should only be granted in the case of a land use hardship. There is no land use hardship in the instant case and they should be made to comply withal existing requirements including the 105 foot set back and existing density and landscape requirements. All of the other owners who attended the meeting share the same sentiment.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at the above-listed number.

Sincerely,

STEVEN M. SHINN, ESQ.

SMS/sy cc. Association



August 4, 2006

City of Las Vegas.
Planning and Development Department
731 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101

RE: SDR-14311

To Whom It May Concern,

We are in receipt of the above mentioned hearing notice. My client, Richard T. Crawford dba Green Valley Grocery would like to go on record and object to the Waiver of Landscaping Requirements regarding this future development. This is the only objection we have against this development.

I would appreciate that this letter be referenced in any City Council Meeting in regards to this matter. If you would like any further comment from me, I can be reached at 702.221.7000

Sincerely,

RL Moore & Associates

Roper Moore, CPM

as agent for Richard T. Crawford dba Green Valley Grocery

CC: RTC

RL MOORE & ASSOCIATES

Rob Moore Principal phone for the Perfection

15. Very Hall of the Total Total of Service

(may 4. Service Service May 18 May 1

CASE NO. 50R-14311

PC MEETING: 8-10-06